

Alicyclic Ring Structure: Conformational Influence of the CF₂ Group in Cyclododecanes**

Maciej Skibinski, Yi Wang, Alexandra M. Z. Slawin, Tomas Lebl, Peer Kirsch, and David O'Hagan*

Selective incorporation of fluorine atoms is a proven and powerful method for modulating the properties of organic compounds for the development of performance molecules.^[1] For example the selective introduction of fluorine as a replacement for hydrogen or an hydroxy group can confer improved properties in the development of medicinal chemistry products^[2] due to the small size and high polarity of the C–F bond,^[3] and incorporation of the C/O/S–CF₃ group onto molecular frameworks has been widely adopted as a strategy for modulating pharmacokinetic properties within the medicinal chemistry^[4] and agrochemistry industries.^[5] Also the dipole moment associated with the C–F bond and its low viscosity modulus has rendered fluorooorganics important entities in organic materials such as liquid crystals.^[6] Although selective fluorination and CF₃ incorporation have been widely explored, the CF₂ group has received relatively limited attention despite having unique properties as a functional group.^[3,7] For example H₂CF₂ has the highest dipole moment (1.97 D) relative to H₃CF (1.87 D) or HCF₃ (1.65 D) progressing along the fluoromethane series from methane to tetrafluoromethane.^[8] Here we have focused on the role of the CF₂ group as a CH₂ replacement, and as a case study have selected the alicyclic ring, cyclododecane (**1**) as a molecular framework to explore its steric and structural influence. At the outset a screen of all structures deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) containing the ~CH₂CF₂CH₂~ motif were explored to assess trends in the F–C–F and C–C(F₂)–C bond angles.^[9] The search revealed that from 23 compounds and 38 motifs, satisfying this criterion, the average C–CF₂–C angle was 118° and the average F–C–F angle was 104° (Figure 1), significantly wider and narrower, respectively, than the tetrahedral angle and consistent with the earlier predictions from Wiberg's theory analyses.^[10] These geometric changes can be rationalized by valence shell electron pair repulsion theory (VSEPR) analysis of the central carbon atom.^[3,11] To a first approximation this




Figure 1. a,c) Histograms reporting the range of angles from 23 structures containing 38 ~CH₂CF₂CH₂~ motifs within the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Base. a) C–C(F₂)–C angles; c) F–C–F angles. b) Summary of the average angles in this study.

indicates that the difluoromethyl group will relax strained alicyclic rings as it can accommodate a much wider C–C(F₂)–C angle relative to C–C(H₂)–C.

Cyclododecane (**1**) has a melting point (m.p.) of 64 °C and is the first of the alicyclic rings which is a solid at room temperature. In a landmark paper in 1960 Dunitz and Shearer^[12] solved the structure of cyclododecane by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, although the molecule was highly disordered with large thermal parameters. Their best solution concluded that in the solid state, **1** is essentially a square [3333]^[13] structure with the four-carbon chain edges arranged in an *anti* zig-zag manner, as shown in Figure 2a. Subsequent theory^[14] and electron diffraction^[15] studies supported this *D*₄ structure. Anet et al. determined an experimental (NMR) conformational energy barrier of 7.3 kcal mol^{–1} for the cyclododecane ring interconversion.^[14,16] This is several kcal mol^{–1} lower than e.g. cyclohexane (10.5 kcal mol^{–1})^[13b] indicative of significant conformational flexibility and consistent with the difficulty of resolving the solid-state structure by X-ray diffraction. It follows from the [3333] structure that there are eight *endo* hydrogen atoms pointing into the molecule, four above and four below the plane of the ring, each quartet arranged in a square. The 1,4-H,H transannular contacts are at van der Waals proximities (2.10–2.25 Å), and are a significant feature adding to the ring strain. Also the [3333] structure clearly distinguishes edge and corner methylene (CH₂) groups. The ring offers an interesting framework in which to explore the nature of the CF₂ group and in particular to establish the preferred location of CF₂. Will it prefer to locate at a corner or an edge?

At the outset a sample of 1,1-difluorocyclododecane (**2**) was prepared by treatment of cyclododecanone with HF/SF₄.^[17] This gave a low-melting gel-like solid (m.p. 44 °C as determined by DSC; see Supporting Information). Similar to **1**, cyclododecane **2** proved very difficult to obtain good X-ray

[*] M. Skibinski, Dr. Y. Wang, Prof. A. M. Z. Slawin, Dr. T. Lebl, Prof. D. O'Hagan
EaStChem School of Chemistry, University of St. Andrews
North Haugh, St. Andrews, Fife KY16 9ST (UK)
E-mail: do1@st-andrews.ac.uk
Homepage: <http://chemistry.st-and.ac.uk/staff/doh/group>
Prof. Dr. P. Kirsch
Merck KGaA, PM-A Emerging Technologies Germany
Frankfurter Str. 250, 64293 Darmstadt (Germany)

[**] D.O'H. thanks the ERC for an Advanced Investigator Grant to support this research.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201105060>.





Figure 2. X-Ray crystal structures of cyclododecanes. a) The Dunitz & Shearer [3333] structure of cyclododecane (1). b) Disordered structure of 1,1-difluorocyclododecane (2). The fluorines were randomly located at corners and the hydrogen atoms were insufficiently resolved. c) The structure of 1,1,4,4-cyclododecane (3). d) The structure of 1,1,7,7-cyclododecane (4). e) The distorted [4332] structure of 1,1,6,6-cyclododecane (5).

diffraction data due to considerable molecular disorder within the unit cell. However, after many attempts a disordered structure was solved, with electron density from the fluorine atoms poorly resolved, but located only at the corner positions of a [3333] structure, as shown in Figure 2b. It appears the molecules pack rather randomly with respect to which corner the CF_2 occupies relative to neighboring molecules. The best we could conclude from this structure was that there was a preference for CF_2 to occupy corner rather than edge locations.

A quantum chemical study (MP2/6-311 + G(2d,p)//B3LYP/6-311 + G(2d,p) + ZPE)^[18] was carried out to determine the relative energies of minimized 1,1-difluorocyclododecane (2) structures with the CF_2 placed either at an edge or at a corner position. The structure with CF_2 at the corner was calculated to be $2.86 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ lower in energy relative to an edge location, consistent with the developing experimental observations (see Supporting Information). In order to improve the molecular order, two CF_2 groups were placed within the cyclododecane ring, with a spacing designed to occupy adjacent or opposite corner locations of the [3333] structure. Accordingly 1,1,4,4- (3) and 1,1,7,7-tetrafluorocyclododecanes (4) became synthesis targets.

Tetrafluorocyclododecanes 3 and 4 were prepared as summarized in Scheme 1. In each case they were accessed by fluorination of their respective 1,4- and 1,7-dithiane precursors, following the methodology of Sondej and Katzenellenbogen^[19] for CF_2 preparations from ketones. 1,1,4,4-Tetrafluorocyclododecane (3) is a crystalline solid (m.p. 83°C) and X-ray analysis of a suitable crystal generated the structure in Figure 2c. This was a well behaved structure and there was now no problem in obtaining good diffraction data. The CF_2 groups do indeed occupy corner locations and this leads to a significantly improved solid-state order relative to 2.

A low-temperature (CD_2Cl_2 , 200 K) ^{19}F NMR experiment on 3 allowed resolution of the fluorine atoms into an AB-system distinguishing pseudo-axial and pseudo-equatorial fluorines at the corners of the ring (see Supporting Information). Rate constants for the ring interconversion were determined by complete lineshape analysis of the ^{19}F NMR spectra recorded across the temperature range 190–295 K.



Scheme 1. Synthesis approach to cyclododecanes carrying two CF_2 groups by fluorination of bisdithiane precursors.^[16] Cyclododecanes 3 and 4 are designed to locate the CF_2 groups at adjacent and opposite corners of the [3333] ring structure, respectively, and cyclododecane 5 is designed as a mismatched structure.

Fitting the Eyring equation^[20] to the experimental data allowed determination of the activation parameters: $\Delta G^\ddagger = 9.54 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta H^\ddagger = 9.16 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$, and $\Delta S^\ddagger = -1.26 \text{ cal K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (see Supporting Information). Thus, cyclododecane **3** has a conformational energy barrier $3.0 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ higher than cyclododecane itself indicating that the CF_2 groups introduce conformational stability.

1,1,7,7-Tetrafluorocyclododecane (**4**) resulted in a much higher melting solid (143°C) which was also readily amenable to X-ray structure analysis, and the resultant structure is shown in Figure 2d. A quantum chemical study (MP2/6-311 + G(2d,p)//B3LYP/6-311 + G(2d,p) + ZPE)^[17] on **4**, similar to that carried out for **2**, indicated that the corner/corner structure was more stable than the alternative edge/edge [3333] structure by $5.91 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ (see Supporting Information). The fluorine of the CF_2 groups at the corner locations are *exo* to the ring and they provide conformational stability to the ring structure. This corner/corner structure has C_2 symmetry, and unlike **3** low-temperature ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy did not resolve these fluorines. However, ^1H NMR lineshape analysis and coalescence of *exo* and *endo* edge CH_2 groups of **4** allowed evaluation of the free-energy barrier ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 10.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) at the coalescence temperature (233 K), which is significantly higher than for cyclododecane ($7.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) and 1,1,4,4-tetrafluorocyclododecane (**3**) ($9.54 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$). This increased stability to ring interconversion for **4** relative to **3** may be due to its zero dipole moment.

Analysis of the structures of cyclododecanes **3** and **4** reveal that the $\text{CH}_2\text{-C}(\text{F}_2)\text{-CH}_2$ angles are wide, ranging from $115\text{--}118^\circ$ consistent with the CCDC survey described in Figure 1.^[9] This presumably relieves some angle strain relative to the native cyclododecane structure **1**. The angle widening also has the consequence of relaxing 1,4-H,H transannular interactions above and below the face of the ring. These non-covalent interactions are lengthened by the presence of the CF_2 group at a corner position relative to those interactions with CH_2 at the corner (e.g. $2.24 \text{ \AA}/2.20 \text{ \AA}$ versus $2.14 \text{ \AA}/2.15 \text{ \AA}$ in **4**). Thus there are two effects, angle widening and transannular relaxation, favoring the corner location of the CF_2 group. Additionally, if CF_2 were located at an edge, the 1,4-H,F interaction of the *endo* fluorines would be raised in energy relative to the corresponding 1,4-H,H interaction due to the slightly larger steric influence of fluorine.

In order to gain further insight into the influence of CF_2 groups within the cyclododecane motif a synthesis of 1,1,6,6-tetrafluorocyclododecane (**5**) was carried out. This molecule was selected because one of the CF_2 groups is forced to an edge position, if the cyclododecane maintains a square [3333] structure. Tetrafluorocyclododecane **5** was prepared by the route illustrated in Scheme 1. This compound has the lowest melting point (40°C) of the series **1–5**, and it was significantly more amorphous in nature than **3** and **4**. Accordingly it proved very difficult to find a suitable crystal for X-ray analysis. After extended trials a small crystal of **5** was identified which diffracted X-rays, and the resultant structure is shown in Figure 2e. It is clear that the ring conformation has changed significantly from the [3333] square type conformation to a distorted [4332] pseudo-rectangular structure. The 1,6- CF_2 groups force this conformational change

such that they avoid edge positions, presumably due to unfavorable 1,4-H,F steric interactions which would arise if a fluorine atom was located at one of the four transannular positions above (or below) the plane of a [3333] ring. Features of the structure of **5** suggest substantial ring strain. The $\text{C-C}(\text{F}_2)\text{-C}$ angles are 119.4° and 120.2° the widest observed in this family of structures so far. Nonetheless, the CF_2 groups organize the ring in such a manner that they maintain corner locations.

In summary, we have used cyclododecane as a molecular framework to explore the steric and geometric influence of the CF_2 group. It emerges from this study that there is a very strong geometric preference for the CF_2 to locate at corner positions of the ring, to relieve both angle strain and relax 1,4-H,H transannular interactions. The cyclododecane [3333] conformation is particularly tensioned due to transannular H-H interactions and the system avoids 1,4-H,F transannular interactions due to the small but increased van der Waals/steric influence of fluorine. Judicious spacing of two CF_2 groups can lead to relatively stable ring conformations, for example, in the case of structures **3** and **4**. It follows that strategic incorporation of one or more CF_2 groups could stabilize larger alicyclic rings. The polar nature and conformational preference of the CF_2 group offers potential as a strategy in the design of performance aliphatic compounds which require both molecular order and polarity, such as organic liquid crystals and polymer chains.

Received: July 19, 2011

Published online: September 20, 2011

Keywords: conformational analysis · multivincinal fluorines · organic materials · organofluorine chemistry

- [1] a) P. Kirsch, *Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2004**; b) R. D. Chambers, *Fluorine in Organic Chemistry*, Blackwell, Oxford, **2004**.
- [2] a) S. Purser, P. R. Moore, S. Swallow, V. Gouverneur, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, 37, 320–330; b) K. Müller, C. Faeh, F. Diederich, *Science* **2007**, 317, 1881–1886.
- [3] D. O'Hagan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, 37, 308–319.
- [4] a) I. Ojima, *Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry and Chemical Biology*, Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, **2009**; b) A. Tressaud, G. Haufe, *Fluorine in Health*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, **2008**; c) A. M. Thayer, *Chem. Eng. News* **2006**, 84, 15–24.
- [5] a) V. N. Boiko, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, 6, 880–921; b) F. Leroux, P. Jeschke, M. Schlosser, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, 105, 827–856; c) P. Jeschke, *ChemBioChem* **2004**, 5, 570–589.
- [6] a) P. Kirsch, M. Bremer, *ChemPhysChem* **2010**, 11, 357–360; b) P. Kirsch, W. Binder, A. Hahn, K. Jahrling, M. Lenges, L. Lietzau, D. Maillard, V. Meyer, E. Poetsch, A. Ruhl, G. Unger, R. Frohlich, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 3479–3487.
- [7] a) T. Ema, T. Kadoya, K. Akihara, T. Sakai, *J. Mol. Catal. B* **2010**, 66, 198–202; b) N. Liu, S. Cao, L. Shen, J. Wu, J. Yu, J. Zhang, H. Li, X. Qian, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, 50, 1982–1985.
- [8] a) D. Papoušek, Z. Papoušková, D. P. Chong, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, 99, 15387–15395; b) G. L. Fox, H. B. Schlegel, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1990**, 92, 4351–4357.
- [9] A search of the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) was carried out using the ConQuest Software.
- [10] K. B. Wiberg, P. R. Rablen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, 115, 625–631.

- [11] R. J. Gillespie, E. A. Robinson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2005**, 34, 396–407.
 - [12] J. D. Dunitz, H. M. M. Shearer, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1960**, 43, 18–35. See also a commentary in H.-B. Bürgi, J. D. Dunitz, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1993**, 76, 1115–1166.
 - [13] a) The [3333] nomenclature comes from J. Dale, *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1973**, 27, 1115–1129; b) E. L. Eliel, S. H. Wilen, *Stereochemistry of Organic Compounds*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, **1994**.
 - [14] F. A. L. Anet, T. N. Rawdah, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, 100, 7166–7170.
 - [15] E. G. Atavin, V. S. Mastryukov, N. L. Allinger, A. Almenningen, R. Seip, *J. Mol. Struct.* **1989**, 212, 87–95.
 - [16] F. A. L. Anet, A. K. Cheng, J. J. Wagner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, 94, 9250–9252.
 - [17] D. R. Strobach, G. A. Boswell, *J. Org. Chem.* **1971**, 36, 818–820.
 - [18] Gaussian03, Revision D.01: M. J. Frisch et al. (see Supporting Information), Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, **2004**. The minimum geometries were optimized on the B3LYP/6-311 + G(2d,p) level of theory, and were verified to have only positive eigenfrequencies. The energies of the conformers were calculated on the MP2/6-311 + G(2d,p) level of theory, using the B3LYP/6-311 + G(2d,p) geometries and zero point energies.
 - [19] S. C. Sondej, J. A. Katzenellenbogen, *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, 51, 3508–3513.
 - [20] H. Eyring, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1935**, 3, 107–115.
-